



**Portman  
Group**

## Code Review Response

When responding, please state if you are doing so as an individual or a representative of an organisation. It will be helpful if you explain fully and clearly why you hold your opinion.

We are conducting this consultation in accordance with the principles of effective consultation developed by the Better Regulation Executive. In the interests of transparency, all responses and comments will be treated as non-confidential and we intend to publish all consultation responses on our website, [www.portmangroup.org.uk](http://www.portmangroup.org.uk). If all or any specified part of your response is confidential and should not be disclosed, please state this clearly in your covering email. If part of a response is confidential, please put that in a separate annex so that non-confidential parts may be published with your identity. Confidential responses will be included in any statistical summary.

**Name:**

**Email:**

**Organisation:**

1. **Do you agree that the Code should be amended to prevent alcohol being offered on the basis that it can change mood or behaviour?**

Yes

No

Please provide your reason(s) why:

**2. Do you agree with the proposed drafting of the Code rule?**

Yes

No

If no, please provide your reason(s) why and, if applicable, alternative wording:

**3. Do you agree that it is important to have a unit-based definition for immoderate consumption?**

Yes

No

Please provide your reason(s) why:

**4. Do you agree there is enough evidence, as set out in the section above, (for evidence see consultation document pages 12-13) to introduce a definition of immoderate consumption based on a daily threshold of 4 units?**

Yes

No

If no, please provide your reason(s) why:

**5. Do you agree that the Code should be amended to prevent any associations with illegal behaviour?**

Yes

No

Please provide your reason(s) why:

**6. Do you agree with the proposed drafting of the Code rule?**

Yes

No

If no, please provide your reason(s) why and, if applicable, alternative wording:

**7. Should the Code be amended to offer protection to vulnerable individuals?**

Yes

No

Please provide your reason(s) why:

**8. If so, do you agree this should be an over-arching principle of the Code, and as drafted?**

Yes

No

Please provide your reason(s) why:

**9. Do you agree that the Code should be amended to protect individuals and/or groups from serious or widespread offence?**

Yes

No

Please provide your reason(s) why:

**10. Do you agree with the proposed wording of the new rule?**

Yes

No

If no, please provide your reason(s) why and, if applicable, alternative wording:

**11. Are there any other areas in which you think the Code might benefit from revision or ways in which you think it could be made to operate more effectively?**